

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/605,963	11/10/2003	Darrell Rinerson	UNTYP023	2962
42958 75	90 12/21/2004		EXAM	INER
·	CONDUCTOR CORPO	LE, TH	LE, THAO P	
250 NORTH WOLFE ROAD SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ale			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/605,963	RINERSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thao P. Le	2818			
The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set.or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	SICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) May will, by statute, cause the application to become	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>01 November 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	·	atters, prosecution as to the merits is 2.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-22 is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict to the subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject the subje	are withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specific speci	e: a) accepted or b) objected ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeging the correction is required if the drawing	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	y documents have been received. y documents have been received in s of the priority documents have be onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1 page</u>. 	PTO-948) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Examiner confirms that Applicants elected to prosecute Claims 1-16 and have withdrawn Claims 17-22 without prejudice.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 02/23/04 and made of record.

The references cited on the PTOL 1449 form have been considered.

Claim Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 7-8 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Bailey, U.S. Patent No. 6,249,104.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,963

Art Unit: 2818

Regarding claim 1, Bailey discloses a conductive memory device comprising (See Fig. 10B and corresponding Cols.):

a bottom electrode 1178 having a top face with a first surface area;

. a top electrode <u>1182</u> located above the bottom electrode having a bottom face with a second surface area;

a multi-resistive state element <u>1180</u> sandwiched between the bottom electrode and the top electrode and having a bottom face with a third surface area and a top face with a fourth surface area, the multi-resistive state element's bottom face being in contact with the bottom electrode's top face, and the multi-resistive state element's top face being in contact with the top electrode's bottom face;

. wherein the fourth surface area is not equal to the second surface area (Fig. 10B).

Regarding claim 2, Bailey discloses the device in claim 1 and further discloses a diffusion barrier layer 1186 and the barrier layer covers the sidewalls of the bottom electrode, top electrode, and the multi-resistive state element (Fig. 10B).

Regarding claims 3-4, Bailey discloses the diffusion barrier is also an etch stop and made of Silicon Nitride (lines 28-31, Col. 13).

Regarding claim 7, Bailey discloses a sidewall layer 1186 and the sidewall layer covers the sides of bottom electrode, top electrode, and the multi-resistive state element.

Regarding claim 8, Bailey discloses wherein the second surface area is smaller than the fourth surface area (Fig. 10B).

5. Claims 1, 5-6 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (e) as being anticipated by Kato, U.S. Patent No. 6,809,360.

Regarding claim 1, Kato discloses a conductive memory device comprising (See Figs. 1D-1J and corresponding Cols.):

- a bottom electrode 14 having a top face with a first surface area;
- . a top electrode <u>16</u> located above the bottom electrode having a bottom face with a second surface area;
- electrode and the top electrode and having a bottom face with a third surface area and a top face with a fourth surface area, the multi-resistive state element's bottom face being in contact with the bottom electrode's top face, and the multi-resistive state element's top face being in contact with the top electrode's bottom face;
- . wherein the fourth surface area is not equal to the second surface area (Fig. 1F).

Regarding claims 5-6, Kato discloses the device in claim 1 above and further discloses wherein the first surface area is larger than the third surface area and wherein the second surface area is larger than the fourth surface area (See Fig. 1 drawings).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bailey, 7. U.S. Patent No. 6,249,104.

Regarding to claims 9-14, Bailey discloses the device as claimed in claims 1, 8 but fails to disclose the device further including a hard mask layer formed on the top electrode and a spacer formed on the sides of the top electrode and wherein the spacer material is dielectric which selected from silicon nitride, silicon oxide, titanium oxide, SiON or AIO. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a hard mask on the top electrode and a spacer on the sides of the top electrode in order to protect the top electrode from etching and to prevent the leakage current. It is well known in the art that dielectric materials such as silicon nitride, silicon oxide, titanium oxide, SiON or AlO are used as spacer to prevent leakage current.

Regarding claim 15, Bailey discloses the bottom electrode, the top electrode, and the state element each have sides and these sides are cover by a sidewall layer (hydrogen barrier layer, Fig. 10B).

Regarding claim 16, it is obvious that the top, bottom, and multi-resistive state element lie in the X-Y plane and the direction of current conduction through the conductive memory device is parallel to the Z-axis.

8. Other references listed in PTO-892 form also disclose a similar device to present invention.

9. When responding to the office action, Applicants' are advice to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao P. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1785. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7-6).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2818

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thao P. Le Examiner

Art Unit 2818